ITP-SB-92-38
July 6, 1992

Invariants Describing Quark Mixing and Mass Matrices

Alexander Kusenko[1]

Institute for Theoretical Physics
State University of New York
Stony Brook, N. Y. 11794-3840[2]

arXiv:hep-ph/9207230v1 9 Jul 1992

Abstract

We introduce two new sets of invariant functions of quark mass matrices, which express the constraints on these mass matrices due to knowledge of the quark mixing matrix. These invariants provide a very simple method to test candidate forms for mass matrices.

[1]Supported in part by NSF contract PHY-89-08495
[2]email: kusenko@sunysbnp.bitnet

In recent years the increasingly accurate data on quark mixing has stimulated one's interest in possible predictions concerning quark mass matrices. The parameters of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing matrix V are now known reasonably well [1]. This determination has been made possible partly by the finding that there are only three generations of usual standard-model fermions (with corresponding light or massless neutrinos). Since the diagonalization of the quark matrices in the up and down sectors determines V, one can work back from the knowledge of V to put constraints on the possible forms of (original, nondiagonal) quark mass matrices. However, the data on quark mixing determines these mass matrices only up to an arbitrary unitary similarity transformation. This is a result of the fact that if the up and down quark mass matrices, M_u and M_d, are both acted on by the same unitary operator U_0 according to

$$M_{u,d} \;\rightarrow\; U_0 \, M_{u,d} \, U_0^\dagger \tag{1}$$

then the mixing matrix V remains unchanged. There have been many attempts to study specific assumed forms for quark matrices. While this is worthwhile, it is desirable to express the constraints from data on V on the quark mass matrices in an invariant form. In Refs. [2, 3] certain invariant functions of the quark mass matrices I_{pq} were introduced, which are expressed in terms of the quark masses squared and the $|V_{ij}|$:

$$I_{pq} = Tr(H_u^p \, H_d^q) = \sum_{ij} (m_i^{(u)})^{2p} (m_j^{(d)})^{2q} \, |V_{ij}|^2 \tag{2}$$

where $H_q = M_q M_q^\dagger$, and $m_i^{(u)}$ and $m_i^{(u)}$ are the masses of quarks in the "up" and "down" charge sectors.

However, in practice, these are somewhat awkward to use because of the high powers of elements of the actual quark mass matrices which are involved.

In this paper we introduce two new sets of invariants of the quark mass matrices (with respect to the transformation (1)) which can be expressed in terms of the measurable quantities only (up to the $\pm$ sign ambiguity in fermion masses, which, as we will show, can be dealt with by considering all choices of these signs). These invariants have an important

advantage relative to (2) that they involve lower powers of the elements of the quark mass matrices and hence yield much less complicated analytic expressions in practice.

In the standard model with only left-handed charged weak currents one may choose M_u and M_d both to be hermitian without any loss of generality, by re-phasing the right-handed components of quarks.

We introduce the following new invariants of the transformation (1):

$$K_{pq} = Tr(\ M_u^p\ M_d^q\ ) \tag{3}$$

$$L_{pq}(\alpha, \beta) = det(\alpha M_u^p + \beta M_d^q) \tag{4}$$

where $p, q, \alpha, \beta\ \neq 0$.

The hermitian matrices M_u and M_d can be diagonalized by a unitary similarity transformation:

$$\begin{cases} U_u M_u U_u^\dagger = D_u \\ \\ U_d M_d U_d^\dagger = D_d \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $D_q = diag(m_1^{(q)}, m_2^{(q)}, m_3^{(q)})$ are the diagonal matrices of the quark masses.

The mixing matrix V can be written then as:

$$V = U_u U_d^\dagger \tag{6}$$

We can now express the invariants K_{pq} in terms of the squares of absolute values of the elements of the mixing matrix $U_{ij} = |V_{ij}|^2$ (the latter are measurable quantities [1]):

$$\begin{gathered} K_{pq} = Tr(U_u^\dagger D_u^p U_p U_d^\dagger D_d^q U_d) = Tr(V^\dagger D_u^p V D_d^q) = \\ \sum_{ij}\ (m_i^{(u)})^p\ (m_j^{(d)})^q\ U_{ij} \end{gathered} \tag{7}$$

In order to find an expression for L_{pq} in terms of U_{ij} we will need the following

Theorem 1.

If A and B are two 3×3 matrices such that $det(A) \neq 0$ and $det(B) \neq 0$ then the following relation holds:

$$det(A+B) = det(A) + det(B) + det(A)\, Tr(A^{-1}B) + det(B)\, Tr(AB^{-1}) \tag{8}$$

Proof:

We denote the elements of matrices A and B by A_{ij} and B_{ij} correspondingly. Their co-factors (which are equal to the corresponding minors, up to sign) will be written as $\hat{A}_{ij}$ and $\hat{B}_{ij}$. Then each determinant may be decomposed in a sum (Laplace expansion):

$$det(A) = \sum_i A_{ij}\hat{A}_{ij} = \sum_j A_{ij}\hat{A}_{ij}$$

$$det(B) = \sum_i B_{ij}\hat{B}_{ij} = \sum_j B_{ij}\hat{B}_{ij}$$

By definition, the determinant of a 3×3 matrix is a sum of $n!$ terms:

$$det(A+B) = \sum (-1)^r (A_{1k_1} + B_{1k_1})(A_{2k_2} + B_{2k_2})(A_{3k_3} + B_{3k_3}) \tag{9}$$

where r is the sign of the permutation $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ k_1 & k_2 & k_3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

The terms in the sum (9) which contain only the elements of A can be arranged as $det(A)$. Similarly, the terms containing only B's give $det(B)$. The terms containing one element of A multiplied by two elements of B, or visa versa, can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{i,j} (A_{ij}\hat{B}_{ij} + B_{ij}\hat{A}_{ij}) \tag{10}$$

We can now use an identity:

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{1}{det(A)}\hat{A}_{ji}$$

to rewrite (10) as:

$$\sum_{i,j} (A_{ij}\hat{B}_{ij} + B_{ij}\hat{A}_{ij}) = det(B)\ \sum_{ij} A_{ij}(B^{-1})_{ji} + det(A)\ \sum_{ij} (A^{-1})_{ji} B_{ij} =$$

$$det(A)\,Tr(A^{-1}B) + det(B)\,Tr(AB^{-1})$$

All together we get

$$det(A+B) = det(A) + det(B) + det(A)\,Tr(A^{-1}B) + det(B)\,Tr(AB^{-1})$$

which is the statement of *Theorem 1*. This completes the proof.

Theorem 1 may be easily generalized to the case of 2×2 matrices, in which case the last two terms in (8) are equal and correspond to a redundant counting of the same terms in a sum similar to (9). Thus for the 2×2 matrices we get:

$$\begin{array}{c} det(A+B) = det(A) + det(B) + det(A)\,Tr(A^{-1}B) \equiv \\ det(A) + det(B) + det(B)\,Tr(AB^{-1}) \end{array} \tag{11}$$

The immediate consequence of *Theorem 1* and equation (7) is the following relation:

$$L_{pq}(\alpha,\beta) \;\equiv\; det(\alpha M_u^p + \beta M_d^q) \;=$$

$$\alpha^3\;(m_1^{(u)}m_2^{(u)}m_3^{(u)})^p\;[\,1 + (\beta/\alpha)\sum_{ij}[(m_j^{(d)})^q/(m_i^{(u)})^p]\,U_{ij}\,] + \tag{12}$$

$$\beta^3\;(m_1^{(d)}m_2^{(d)}m_3^{(d)})^q\;[\,1 + (\alpha/\beta)\sum_{ij}[(m_j^{(u)})^p/(m_i^{(d)})^q]\,U_{ij}\,]$$

We also notice that

$$L_{pq}(1,\pm 1) = (m_1^{(u)}m_2^{(u)}m_3^{(u)})^p(1 \pm K_{(-p)\,q}) \pm (m_1^{(d)}m_2^{(d)}m_3^{(d)})^q(1 \pm K_{p\,(-q)}) \tag{13}$$

In summary, we have introduced and studied two new sets of invariants of the quark mass matrices which are model- and weak basis-independent. The identities (12) and (13) involving these invariants which we have derived above provide important constraints on the possible forms of quark mass matrices M_u and M_d since they directly relate the elements of

these matrices to the measurable parameters $|V_{ij}|^2$ and quark masses, and thereby enable one to avoid the explicit calculation of the eigenvectors of M_u and M_d. (For another approach concerned with obtaining information on these mass matrices from V, see, e.g., Ref. [4].) Although these invariants involve ambiguities stemming from the fact that the signs of fermion masses are not physical, these ambiguities can be dealt with by considering all possible sign combinations. In practice, we have found that this is not a serious complication. Applications of these invariants will be discussed as part of a separate paper [5].

The author is grateful to Professor Robert E. Shrock for helpful discussions and for his comments.

References

[1] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Properties*, Phys. Rev. **D 45** (1992) III.65

[2] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 1685. (See also C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 1039.)

[3] G. C. Branco and L. Lavoura, Phys. Lett. **B 208** (1988) 123.

[4] A. Kusenko, Phys. Lett. **B 284** (1992) 390.

[5] A. Kusenko and R. E. Shrock, in preparation.